



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,226	10/15/2003	Gregory B. Hale	58085-010203	8102

46560 7590 05/12/2006

THE WALT DISNEY COMPANY
C/O GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
2450 COLORADO AVENUE SUITE 400E
SANTA MONICA, CA 90404

EXAMINER

FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3627

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/687,226

Applicant(s)

HALE ET AL.

Examiner

Joseph A. Fischetti

Art Unit

3627

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 19-52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 35-52 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 19-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/16/06
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Election/Restrictions

Claims 35-52 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3/16/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-26,30-34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahoney in view of Decker et al and Haave et al.

Mahoney et al. disclose a method of managing the loading of patrons to an attraction in an entertainment environment (see fig. 1 area 66) wherein patrons are permitted access to the attraction on at least two bases, the first being a first-in first-out basis (que 70), and the second being a priority basis established by a prior allocation of a time of entry into the attraction (allocated times 52/62/64), comprising:

receiving from a patron a priority request *for an* allocation of a time of

entry into the attraction (device 42 receives the request from a patron), the priority request being entered on a device, the priority request being received at a central computer that regulates the number of patrons allowed to enter the attraction (computer 16 is a line waiting management computer), transmitting to the patron a response including available return times to the attraction, the response including available return times being transmitted to patron (see col. 4, line 12, host computer relays time availability information -read as a return time- to device 42) ; receiving a selection of a return time from the available return times, the selection being made by the patron in response to the transmitted available return times to the attraction (the patron makes his desired selections col. 4 line 18), the selection being made by the patron *via the television unit*; and employing an operation to provide the patron access to the attraction, the operation providing priority access to the patron having the return time, the operation providing first-in first-out access to any patron not having an allocated return time (elements 64/68 allow for access to the facility either FIFO or return time ticket .

However, Mahoney et al. fails to disclose a resort facility related to the entertainment environment and is located remotely from the entertainment environment; and the response including available return times being transmitted to patron via the television unit; and the priority request being entered on a television unit located at a resort facility. But, Decker discloses a hotel room (resort room) in which the a television is used to order e.g. Italian food col. 12 line 49 which restaurant is located remotely of the room from it was ordered.

Decker further discloses ordering using a high speed network. Official notice is taken of COMCAST which provides high speed network. Notwithstanding, Haave et al disclose ordering by television items which are located remotely of the room in which the product is located. It would be obvious to modify the combination to include the television ordering and response feature in the combination above the motivation being using the large screen display to better view reservation plans .

Re claims 20 and 21,23, 24,26 multiple requested are deemed a mere repletion of steps.

Re Claim 22: the television unit is located in a room of the patron in Decker et al. the room of the patron is associated with the entertainment environment in accordance with the above combination analysis.

Claim 25: Mahoney discloses placing the input device 42 in a common area and the replacement of the device 42 with a TV is set forth above.

Re Claim 30: card 52 is a computer readable magnetic code.

Re Claim 31,32: the device 64 redeems at a time of entry into the entertainment environment.

5

Re Claim 32,33: Official notice is taken of the practice of tracking outstanding number of unredeemed return times to the number of allocated times, and feeding back redemptions of return times such that near real time updates of return tune availability may be computed.

Claims 27,28,29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahoney in view of Decker as applied above, and further in view of Christie.


The proposed combination fails to disclose a prioritization of access. However, Christie teaches that access to a given asset can be controlled by a given privilege level assigned to different user groups. It would be obvious to modify the proposed combination to include tiered access feature of Christie because the motivation for this would be to reward those who use the system most with the greatest access. The ratio of use is deemed to be unity in Mahoney. The exchange and or nonuse of an item for credit is deemed an old expedient in the art, official notice is taken thereof.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

6

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph A. Fischetti at telephone number (703) 305-0731.

 **JOSEPH A. FISCHETTI**
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph A. Fischetti

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627